STD&AIDS

International Journal of STD & AIDS 2016, Vol. 27(11) 928–937 © The Author(s) 2016 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0956462416648585 std.sagepub.com



2016 European guideline on the management of non-gonococcal urethritis

Patrick J Horner^{1,2}, Karla Blee², Lars Falk^{3,4}, Willem van der Meijden⁵ and Harald Moi⁶

Abstract

We present the updated International Union against Sexually Transmitted Infections (IUSTI) guideline for the management of non-gonococcal urethritis in men. This guideline recommends confirmation of urethritis in symptomatic men before starting treatment. It does not recommend testing asymptomatic men for the presence of urethritis. All men with urethritis should be tested for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and ideally *Mycoplasma genitalium* using a nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) as this is highly likely to improve clinical outcomes. If a NAAT is positive for gonorrhoea, a culture should be performed before treatment. In view of the increasing evidence that azithromycin I g may result in the development of antimicrobial resistance in *M. genitalium*, azithromycin I g is no longer recommended as first line therapy, which should be doxycycline 100 mg bd for seven days. If azithromycin is to be prescribed an extended course of 500 mg stat, then 250 mg daily for four days is to be preferred over I g stat. In men with persistent NGU, *M. genitalium* NAAT testing is recommended if not previously undertaken, as is *Trichomonas vaginalis* NAAT testing in populations where *T. vaginalis* is detectable in >2% of symptomatic women.

Keywords

Chlamydia (Chlamydia trachomatis), non-gonococcal urethritis, treatment, guideline

Date received: 6 February 2016; accepted: 30 March 2016

What is new in this updated guideline?

Diagnosis

- Urethritis should be confirmed by urethral smear microscopy in symptomatic patients
- Symptoms and negative urethral smear
 - No empirical treatment. Re-attend for early morning smear if nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) are negative and symptoms do not settle

Investigations

- All men assessed for sexually transmitted infections (STIs), regardless of symptoms, should be tested for C. trachomatis from a first void urine (FVU) specimen and for N. gonorrhoeae if they have urethritis. If a NAAT is positive for gonorrhoea, a culture should be performed before treatment.
- All men who have sex with men (MSM) should be tested for both *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae* from any potentially exposed site.

• Testing male patients with urethritis for *M. genita-lium*, preferably with screening for macrolide resistance, is highly likely to improve clinical outcomes.

Management

• Recommended syndromic regimen: doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for seven days.

¹School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, UK

²Bristol Sexual Health Centre, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, UK

³Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Linköping University Hospital, Sweden

⁴Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Linköping University, Sweden

⁵Department of Dermatology, New Cross Hospital, UK

⁶Olafia Clinic, Oslo University Hospital, Institute of Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway

Corresponding author:

Patrick J Horner, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol BS8 2BN, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. Email: paddy.horner@bristol.ac.uk



NICE has accredited the process used by BASHH to produce its European guideline for the management of non-gonococcal urethritis. Accreditation is valid for 5 years from 2011.

More information on accreditation can be viewed at www.nice.org.uk/accreditation

- Azithromycin 1 g stat should not be used routinely because of the increased risk of inducing macrolide antimicrobial resistance with M. genitalium
- If *M. genitalium*-positive: azithromycin 500 mg stat, then 250 mg od for four days.
 - A test of cure three to five weeks after treatment in those who tested positive for *M. genitalium* should be performed.

Persistent/recurrent non-gonococcal urethritis

- Consider testing for *M. genitalium* using a NAAT, preferably with screening for macrolide resistance.
- Consider testing for *Trichomonas vaginalis* using a NAAT if it is prevalent in the local population (>2% in symptomatic women).
- Only treat if patient has definite symptoms of urethritis or physical signs on examination and microscopic evidence of urethritis.

Introduction

Urethritis, or inflammation of the urethra, is a multifactorial condition, which is sexually acquired in the majority of cases. It is characterised by discharge, dysuria and/or urethral discomfort but may be asymptomatic. The diagnosis of urethritis is confirmed by demonstrating an excess of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNLs) in the anterior urethra. This is usually assessed using a urethral smear but a FVU specimen can also be used. Urethritis is described as either gonococcal, when *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* is detected, or non-gonococcal (NGU) when it is not. The term non-specific urethritis (NSU) applies to non-gonococcal non-chlamydial urethritis and to prevent confusion should be avoided.

There are a number of uncertainties with NGU. There is significant inter-observer and intra-observer error in performing and reading urethral slides and counting polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMNLs), especially in samples with low-grade inflammation. ^{1,2} In many men with urethritis, a known pathogen is not detected. ^{3–13}

Aetiology

The prevalence of the common organisms associated with NGU in more recent studies is listed in Table 1. The commonest organisms implicated are *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium*, with the latter perhaps causing more symptoms. ^{4,5} *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* are more likely to be detected in:

Table 1. Prevalence of the most common pathogens isolated from patients with NGU.

Micro-organism	Prevalence	References
C. trachomatis	11–50%	3,4,6,8,10-13,14,15,16,17-24
M. genitalium	6-50%	3-6,8,11,13-16,19,21-27
Ureaplasmas	5-26%	3,11,13,15,21,23,24,28,29
T. vaginalis	I-20%	3,6,14,16,24,30–32
Adenoviruses	2-4%	8,33
Herpes simplex virus	2–3%	8,34

- Younger patients with NGU, although this association is not as strong for *M. genitalium*. ^{3,5,6}
- Those with a urethral discharge and/or dysuria.^{3,6,7,35}
- *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* may be less common in MSM than heterosexual men with NGU.³⁶
- *M. genitalium* has been associated with balano-posthitis and *C. trachomatis* with a circinate balanitis. ^{25,37}
- The two organisms only infrequently coexist in the same individual with NGU,³⁸ but dual infections have been identified in up to 10% of men in some studies.^{3,14}
- Men with a urethral discharge have a higher bacterial load than those without urethritis.^{39,40}

In 30–80% of the cases with NGU, neither C. trachomatis nor M. genitalium is detected. $^{3-12}$

- The isolation of *Trichomonas vaginalis* is dependent on the prevalence of the organism in the community (22–28).
- There is good evidence that *U. urealyticum* causes urethritis in some men but not all men.⁴¹ Detection even using a NAAT *cannot* distinguish between asymptomatic carriage and possible causality.⁴¹ The immune response may influence the development of NGU.¹⁵ Also, higher organism load (>1000 copies/ml of FVU) is a stronger predictor of NGU.^{42,43}
 - Earlier studies did not differentiate between the two species *Ureaplasma urealyticum* (biovar 2) and *U. parvum* (biovar 1), which continues to be the case *if culture alone* is used. 41 *U. parvum* is detected more often in controls than cases, which probably explains why earlier studies failed to demonstrate a consistent association of ureaplasmas with NGU. 41
- There is increasing evidence that bacterial vaginosis (BV)-associated bacteria may cause NGU.^{44–46}
- If urinary tract infection is found, young men should be investigated for urinary tract abnormalities. 47

- Adenoviruses or herpes simplex viruses types 1 and 2 may account for 2–4% of symptomatic patients and this may be associated with conjunctivitis.^{8,33,48}
- N. meningitidis, Haemophilus sp., Candida sp., urethral stricture and foreign bodies probably account for a small proportion of NGU, whilst the role of Epstein–Barr virus is questionable. 49,50
- What causes organism-negative NGU or idiopathic urethritis is unclear. Some of these cases are almost certainly non-infective, but we do not currently have the tools to be able to differentiate probably non-infective from likely infective cases.⁵¹

Asymptomatic urethritis, without an observable discharge, probably has a different aetiology from symptomatic urethritis, with *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* being detected less frequently. 4,5,7,52

This guideline does not recommend testing asymptomatic men with urethral microscopy for non-gonococcal urethritis (III, B).

Clinical features

Symptoms.

- Urethral discharge
- Dysuria
- Penile tip irritation
- Urethral discomfort and/or itch
- Nil

Signs.

- Urethral discharge
- Penile tip erythema
- Normal examination

Complications.

- Epididymo-orchitis
- Sexually acquired reactive arthritis acute or chronic

Diagnosis

Symptomatic patients and those with a visible discharge should be assessed for the presence of urethritis (IV, C). Urethritis should be confirmed by demonstrating PMNLs from the anterior urethra using a Gramstained or methylene blue-stained urethral smear, which should contain ≥5 PMNL per high power (hpf)

(×1000) microscopic field (averaged over five fields with greatest concentration of PMNLs). 4,53,54

• The quality of the smear is heavily dependent on how the smear is taken and there is both inter- and intra-observer variation when interpreting the result.^{1,2}

Either a 5-mm plastic loop or cotton tipped swab can be used, which should be introduced about 1 cm into the urethra⁵⁵ (1b). Other methods are also used, including a sterile blunt curette or spatula⁵⁶ (C).

- Based on reported sensitivities of microscopy for detection of chlamydia, blunt curette is more sensitive than a loop, which is better than a swab^{4,56–58} (III).
- If a urethral discharge is present, the smear can be sampled without placing the device inside the meatus⁵⁵ (IV, C).
- A viral actiology is likely if the leukocytes are predominantly mononuclear.⁴⁸

An FVU specimen can be examined for threads and, if present, these can be stained and interpreted as for a spun deposit (≥10 PMNL/hpf indicates urethritis)^{11,59} (III, B).

Management of symptomatic patients with a negative urethral smear

- If the urethral smear is normal, the patient can be reassured and advised to re-attend for an early morning smear if his symptoms do not settle. He should be advised to hold his urine overnight and to attend not having voided urine. It is good practice to advise the patient to take their last drink at about 8 pm and to void about 3 h later to help avoid waking with a full bladder (IV, C).
 - A leukocyte esterase dipstick on the remains of the FVU specimen may be used to detect urethritis in symptomatic men with a negative urethral smear (54) (IV, C).
- Empirical treatment without verifying the presence of urethritis is not recommended, as there is a risk it may perpetuate their symptoms (IV). This treatment should only be given in exceptional circumstances and their partner(s) should also be treated.

The sensitivity of the smear test for diagnosing urethritis, but probably not the FVU in detecting chlamydia, 60,61 is affected by the period since last passing urine. The optimum time to ensure a definite diagnosis in a symptomatic man is not known; 2–4 h is conventional (IV,C).

Managing patients in settings in which microscopy is not available

Symptomatic patients should be strongly encouraged to attend a centre that has microscopy available because the sensitivity and specificity of other methods for diagnosing urethritis is imperfect compared with a urethral smear. When microscopy is not available, the following can be used to make a diagnosis of urethritis (IV, C):

- The presence of a mucopurulent or purulent urethral discharge on examination
- ≥1+ on a leukocyte esterase dipstick on an FVU specimen (see above)
- The presence of threads in a FVU specimen. 62,63 Threads may be physiological, e.g. semen

Investigations

- Men assessed for STIs should be tested for *C. trachomatis* from FVU, regardless of symptoms.
- Men should be tested for *N. gonorrhoeae* if they have urethritis.
- If N. gonorrhoeae- or C. trachomatis-positive, management should be as specified in the European guidelines.
- Testing male patients with urethritis for M. genitalium, preferably with screening for macrolide resistance, is highly likely to improve clinical outcomes.⁶⁴
 Testing for M. genitalium is therefore recommended.
- In men with symptoms strongly suggestive of a urinary tract infection for example, severe dysuria, haematuria (microscopic or macroscopic), nocturia, urinary frequency or urgency, or is at low risk for an STI, a urinary dipstick analysis on a mid-stream urine specimen should be considered and the sample sent for culture and sensitivities. Although a urinary dipstick is only 68–88% sensitive, it is inexpensive and a useful screening test. If a urinary tract infection is confirmed, consider further urological assessment (IV, C).

General advice

The following should be discussed and clear written information provided:

- An explanation of the causes of NGU, including non-infective causes, and possible short- and longterm implications for the health of the patient and his partner.
- The side effects of treatment

- The importance of:
 - complying with their sex partner(s) being evaluated and treated
 - o complying with any follow-up arrangements
- Advice to abstain from sexual intercourse, including oral sex, until he and his current partner(s) have completed therapy (IV)
- Advice on safer sex
- Patient information: http://iusti.org/regions/Europe/ PatientInformation.htm

Treatment

Men with severe symptoms should be treated as soon as the diagnosis is made and without waiting for the chlamydia, gonorrhoea and *M. genitalium* test results. In men with mild symptoms and microscopically proven low-grade urethritis (5–15 PMNLs/hpf), another option is to review the patient after 3–7 days, preferably for an early morning smear, with the results of the NAAT(s) (including *M. genitalium*) and gonorrhoea culture as sometimes urethritis can resolve without treatment.⁶⁵ If laboratory tests are positive, or he has persistent microscopic urethritis, microorganism-guided antimicrobial treatment can be administered at the second visit.

Ideally, treatment should be effective (microbiological cure >95%), easy to take (twice daily or less), with a low side effect profile, and cause minimal interference with daily lifestyle. However, assessing treatment efficacy is difficult as persistence of inflammation may not indicate persistent infection. 11,66,67 Detectable inflammation may persist for an unknown length of time, even when the putative organism has been eliminated. Two recent large randomised controlled trials from the United States observed that both doxycycline and azithromycin are <85% effective with regard to clinical cure. 13,16

Recommended regimens (grade of recommendation A)

Doxycycline 100 mg twice daily (bd) or 200 mg once daily (od) orally for seven days (Ib).

Second line regimens (III, C)

Azithromycin 500 mg single dose (stat), then 250 mg od for four days or azithromycin 1 g stat (azithromycin 1 g stat should not be used routinely because of the increased risk of inducing macrolide antimicrobial resistance with *M. genitalium*^{64,69})

Lymecycline 300 mg bd for 10 days

Tetracycline hydrochloride 500 mg bd for 10 days

If patient is M. genitalium-positive, azithromycin 500 mg stat, then 250 mg od for four days (see above) (III, C)

- Doxycycline 100 mg bd for seven days is >95% effective in men who are chlamydia-positive, ^{13,16,70–73} and as effective (70–80%) as azithromycin 1 g in men who are *U. urealyticum*-positive. ^{74–76}
- Azithromycin 1 g is associated with development of macrolide resistance in *M. genitalium*^{13,16,69,77–79}, is likely to increase macrolide resistant strains in the population, ^{64,69,80,81} and has a reduced efficacy in chlamydia-positive men with urethritis. ^{71,82,83} The efficacy of azithromycin may be lower than 80% depending on background macrolide resistance in the population, ^{64,78,80,84} and *M. genitalium*-positive men who fail therapy with 1 g are at high risk of developing a 23sRNA gene mutation conferring macrolide resistance. ^{69,85–89} If this were to occur, an extended five-day azithromycin regimen would not be effective at eradicating the infection. ^{64,80,84,90,91}
- Azithromycin for five days (500 mg stat then 250 mg od for four days) is about 95% effective in eradicating macrolide-susceptible *M. genitalium* and may be more effective at eradicating chlamydia in men with urethritis. ^{64,83,85,92,93} It appears to induce macrolide antimicrobial resistance at a lower rate than the 1 g regimen, although there is limited literature evaluating this. ^{69,85,92} Although macrolide antimicrobial resistance in *M. genitalium* appears to be worldwide and possibly increasing, it is probably <40% in the majority of countries. ^{26,64,80,81,87,91,94}
- Although never evaluated, using 1 g instead of 500 mg on day 1 maintains the benefits of single dose therapy, and is likely to improve microbiological cure rates and reduce the risk of macrolide resistance developing in M. genitalium (IV).
- Lymecycline 300 mg bd for 10 days or tetracycline hydrochloride 500 mg bd for 10 days are probably >95% effective in men who are chlamydia-positive.⁹⁵ Unlike doxycycline, these antibiotics do not induce photosensitivity.

Sexual contacts/partners

All sexual partners at risk should be assessed and offered epidemiological treatment, maintaining patient confidentiality. The duration of 'look back' is arbitrary; four weeks is suggested for symptomatic men. Partner(s) notification and management should be carried out with sensitivity, considering socio-cultural issues and avoiding stigma. Current partner(s) should be tested and treated and the patient advised not to be sexually active until all have completed treatment.

If *C. trachomatis* or *N. gonorrhoeae* are detected, it is important to ensure that all sexual partner(s) potentially at risk have been notified and managed as detailed in the European chlamydia and gonorrhoea guidelines (available at http://www.iusti.org/regions/europe/euroguidelines.htm).

• Details of all contacts should be obtained at the first visit. Consent should also be obtained, so that if *C. trachomatis*, *N. gonorrhoeae* or *M. genitalium* are detected subsequently and the index patient does not re-attend, he can be contacted and/or provider referral can be initiated for sexual contacts (IV, C).

In a study conducted before NAATs for *C. trachomatis* and *M. genitalium* were available, there was no evidence of treatment benefit to partners of men with chlamydia-negative NGU.⁹⁶ There are, however, a number of issues, which may influence decision making.

- *M. genitalium* accounts for approximately 15–30% of cases and there is a high concordance of infection in sexual partners. ⁹⁷ *M. genitalium* probably causes disease in women and is a co-factor in HIV transmission. ^{35,64,98}
- There are reports of patients with persistent or recurrent ureaplasma-positive urethritis being cured only after their sexual partner received appropriate treatment.⁹⁹

Follow-up for patients with NGU

Patients with persistence of any symptoms, however slight, at three weeks should be asked to return to the clinic and if persistent urethritis is confirmed, retreated with the appropriate regimen (see below) and the possibility of re-infection explored (IV, C). A test of cure three to four weeks after treatment in those who tested positive for *M genitalium* should be performed. ¹⁰⁰ If chlamydia is confirmed (see European guideline), a test of cure is not recommended to be routinely performed in uncomplicated urethritis.

Persistent and recurrent NGU

Persistent NGU, when symptoms do not resolve following treatment, can result from both treatment failure and re-infection. It occurs in 15–25% of patients following initial treatment of acute NGU. Recurrent NGU is empirically defined as the recurrence of symptomatic urethritis occurring 30–90 days following treatment of acute NGU¹¹ and occurs in 10–20% of patients. ^{11,101}

The aetiology of persistent NGU is probably multifactorial, with an infectious agent being identified in <50% of cases. ^{6,11,90,101} M. genitalium has been identified in 20–40% ^{6,11,90,102} and C. trachomatis in 10–20% of men treated with azithromycin 1 g. ¹⁶ U. urealyticum may also play a role in some men, but urethritis appears to resolve despite persistent infection. ^{11,74,76,103} Trichomonas vaginalis can be identified in up to 10% of populations where it is endemic. ⁶ Herpes simplex virus should also be considered as this can cause dysuria without signs outside the urethra.

Any treatment of persistent NGU should cover *M. genitalium*, *T. vaginalis* and probably BV-associated bacteria. ^{43,46,104} The only randomised controlled trial for persistent NGU was undertaken before *M. genitalium* had been identified as an important pathogen (but before macrolide resistance was common) and used erythromycin, an older generation macrolide. ¹⁰⁵ Although a three-week course was better than placebo, it is not clear how relevant this regimen is today, given that better macrolides are available with fewer side effects. ¹⁰⁶

It is likely that re-treatment of the sexual partner and index case will be beneficial if persistent/recurrent NGU in the index case resolves following extended therapy, but subsequently recurs. This remains an area where further research is needed (IV, C).

Diagnosis of persistent/recurrent NGU (IV, C)

- Only undertake a Gram- or methylene blue-stained urethral smear in men who are symptomatic.
- For those patients with confirmed chlamydia at initial presentation, please refer to the European chlamydia guidelines for further guidance on repeat NAAT testing.
- Test for *M. genitalium* using a NAAT, including screening for macrolide resistance if not undertaken at presentation.
- Consider testing for Trichomonas vaginalis using a NAAT if available, if it is prevalent (>2% in symptomatic women) in the local population.

Recommended regimens – second attendance or first follow-up visit

Patient symptomatic or an observable discharge present and microscopic evidence of urethritis. 11,35,67,85,107

Doxycycline prescribed as first line therapy

Azithromycin 500 mg then 250 mg for the next four days (III, B) plus metronidazole 400 mg twice daily for five days (IV, C)

NB: if macrolide-resistant *M. genitalium* is detected, moxifloxacin should be substituted for azithromycin (see below).

Azithromycin prescribed as first line therapy

Moxifloxacin 400 mg orally once daily for 7–14 days (III, B) plus metronidazole 400 mg twice daily for five days (IV, C).

NB: moxifloxacin should be used with caution and reserved for treatment failures, which are thought secondary to macrolide-resistant M. genitalium. because of rare but serious adverse reactions. Australia successfully uses a 10-day course and Nordic countries a seven-day course, whilst no treatment failures have been identified using a 14-day regimen in the United Kingdom. 64,85,108,109 However, a recent study suggests that a 14-day course is more effective than a seven-day course in eradicating M. genitalium in women with cervicitis. 110 In patients having contracted M. genitalium infection in South-East Asia, dual resistance to both macrolides and fluoroquinolones are currently around 10%. Such infections are difficult to treat and only pristinamycin (registered in France) has proven effective on a case basis.91

Continuing symptoms

There is only limited evidence on how best to manage patients who either remain symptomatic following a second course of treatment, or who have frequent recurrences after treatment. Testing for *M. genitalium* is essential to guide management.

- Moxifloxacin 400 mg orally once daily for 7–14 days (IIIb, B).
- Urological investigation is usually normal unless the patient has urinary flow problems^{111,112} and is not recommended (IV, C).
- The chronic pelvic pain syndrome should be considered in the differential diagnosis. 105,113,114

Proposed review date

2020

Useful input to the guidelines

Gilbert Donders, Jørgen Skov Jensen, Keith Radcliffe, Jonathan Ross, Andy Winter. European STI Guidelines Editorial Board (http://www.iusti.org/regions/Europe/pdf/2013/Editorial_ Board.pdf)

Guideline development

This guideline has been updated by reviewing the previous UK and European non-gonococcal urethritis (2009) guidelines and UK 2015 guideline and conducting a comprehensive literature search of publications from 2008 to September 2015.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Frederick Garrett, University of Bristol, for assisting with literature review.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: PH reports personal fees from Aquarius Population Health, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Cepheid, personal fees from Crown Prosecution Service, personal fees from British Association for Sexual Health and HIV, grants from Mast Group Ltd, grants and personal fees from Hologic, outside the submitted work. In addition, PH has a patent 'A sialidase spot test to diagnose bacterial vaginosis' issued to University of Bristol. HM has received a honorarium and travel expenses from Becton Dickinson for a lecture on *Mycoplasma genitalium*. KB, LF and WvdM-none reported.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Smith R, Copas AJ, Prince M, et al. Poor sensitivity and consistency of microscopy in the diagnosis of low grade non-gonococcal urethritis. Sex Transm Infect 2003; 79: 487–490.
- 2. Willcox JR, Adler MW and Belsey EM. Observer variation in the interpretation of Gram-stained urethral smears: implications for the diagnosis of non-specific urethritis. *Br J Vener Dis* 1981; 57: 134–136.
- Wetmore CMP, Manhart LEP, Lowens MSP, et al. Demographic, behavioral, and clinical characteristics of men with nongonococcal urethritis differ by etiology: A case-comparison study. Sex Transm Dis 2011; 38: 180–186.
- Falk L, Fredlund H and Jensen JS. Symptomatic urethritis is more prevalent in men infected with *Mycoplasma geni*talium than with *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Sex Transm Infect 2004; 80: 289–293.
- Leung A, Eastick K, Haddon L, et al. Mycoplasma genitalium is associated with symptomatic urethritis. Int J STD AIDS 2006; 17: 285–288.
- Sena AC, Lensing S, Rompalo A, et al. Chlamydia trachomatis, Mycoplasma genitalium, and Trichomonas vaginalis infections in men with nongonococcal urethritis: predictors and persistence after therapy. J Infect Dis 2012; 206: 357–365.

- 7. Horner PJ, Thomas B, Gilroy CB, et al. Do all men attending departments of genitourinary medicine need to be screened for non-gonococcal urethritis? *Int J STD AIDS* 2002; 13: 667–673.
- 8. Bradshaw CS, Tabrizi SN, Read TRH, et al. Etiologies of nongonococcal urethritis: Bacteria, viruses, and the association with orogenital exposure. *J Infect Dis* 2006; 193: 336–345.
- 9. Dupin N, Bijaoui G, Schwarzinger M, et al. Detection and quantification of *Mycoplasma genitalium* in male patients with urethritis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 37: 602–605.
- Geisler WMM, Yu S and Hook EW III. Chlamydial and gonococcal infection in men without polymorphonuclear leukocytes on Gram stain: Implications for diagnostic approach and management. Sex Transm Dis 2005; 32: 630–634.
- Horner P, Thomas B, Gilroy CB, et al. Role of Mycoplasma genitalium and Ureaplasma urealyticum in acute and chronic nongonococcal urethritis. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 32: 995–1003.
- Marrazzo JM, Whittington WL, Celum CL, et al. Urinebased screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in men attending sexually transmitted disease clinics. *Sex Transm Dis* 2001; 28: 219–225.
- 13. Manhart LE, Gillespie CW, Lowens MS, et al. Standard treatment regimens for nongonococcal urethritis have similar but declining cure rates: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 56: 934–942.
- 14. Gaydos C, Maldeis NE, Hardick A, et al. *Mycoplasma genitalium* compared to chlamydia, gonorrhoea and trichomonas as an aetiological agent of urethritis in men attending STD clinics. *Sex Transm Infect* 2009; 85: 438–440.
- Wetmore CM, Manhart LE, Lowens MS, et al. Ureaplasma urealyticum is associated with nongonococcal urethritis among men with fewer lifetime sexual partners: a case-control study. J Infect Dis 2011; 204: 1274–1282.
- Schwebke JR, Rompalo A, Taylor S, et al. Re-evaluating the treatment of nongonococcal urethritis: emphasizing emerging pathogens—a randomized clinical trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; 52: 163–170.
- Haddow LJ, Bunn A, Copas AJ, et al. Polymorph count for predicting non-gonococcal urethral infection: a model using *Chlamydia trachomatis* diagnosed by ligase chain reaction. Sex Transm Infect 2004; 80: 198–200.
- 18. Tait IA and Hart CA. *Chlamydia trachomatis* in non-gonococcal urethritis patients and their heterosexual partners: routine testing by polymerase chain reaction. *Sex Transm Infect* 2002; 78: 286–288.
- Mena L, Wang X, Mroczkowski TF, et al. Mycoplasma genitalium infections in asymptomatic men and men with urethritis attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic in New Orleans. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 35: 1167–1173.
- Wendel KA, Erbelding EJ, Gaydos CA, et al. Use of urine polymerase chain reaction to define the prevalence and clinical presentation of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in men attending an STD clinic. *Sex Transm Infect* 2003; 79: 151–153.
- 21. Manhas A, Sethi S, Sharma M, et al. Association of genital mycoplasmas including *Mycoplasma genitalium* in

HIV infected men with nongonococcal urethritis attending STD & HIV clinics. *Ind J Med Res* 2009; 129: 305–310.

- 22. Hilton J, Azariah S and Reid M. A case-control study of men with non-gonococcal urethritis at Auckland Sexual Health Service: rates of detection of *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Sex Health* 2010; 7(1): 77–81.
- 23. Yu JT, Tang WY, Lau KH, et al. Role *of Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in non-gonococal urethritis in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Medical Journal* 2008; 14: 125–129.
- 24. Khatib N, Bradbury C, Chalker V, et al. Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis*, *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in men with urethritis attending an urban sexual health clinic. *Int J STD AIDS* 2015; 26: 388–392.
- Horner PJ and Taylor-Robinson D. Association of Mycoplasma genitalium with balanoposthitis in men with non-gonococcal urethritis. Sex Transm Infect 2010; 87: 38–40.
- Pond MJ, Nori AV, Witney AA, et al. High prevalence of antibiotic-resistant *Mycoplasma genitalium* in nongonococcal urethritis: The need for routine testing and the inadequacy of current treatment options. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 58: 631–637.
- 27. Taylor-Robinson D and Horner PJ. The role of *Mycoplasma genitalium* in non-gonococcal urethritis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2001; 77: 229–231.
- 28. Shigehara K, Kawaguchi S, Sasagawa T, et al. Prevalence of genital *Mycoplasma*, *Ureaplasma*, *Gardnerella*, and human papillomavirus in Japanese men with urethritis, and risk factors for detection of urethral human papillomavirus infection. *J Infect Chemother* 2011; 17: 487–492.
- Orellana MA, Gómez-Lus ML and Lora D. Sensitivity of Gram stain in the diagnosis of urethritis in men. Sex Transm Infect 2012; 88: 284–287.
- Schwebke JR and Lawing LF. Improved detection by DNA amplification of *Trichomonas vaginalis* in males. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; 40: 3681–3683.
- 31. Schwebke JR and Hook EW III. High rates of *Trichomonas vaginalis* among men attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic: implications for screening and urethritis management. *J Infect Dis* 2003; 188: 465–468.
- 32. Sviben M, Missoni EM, Meštrović T, et al. Epidemiology and laboratory characteristics of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in Croatian men with and without urethritis syndrome: a case–control study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2015; 91: 360–364.
- 33. Tabrizi SN, Ling AE, Bradshaw CS, et al. Human adenoviruses types associated with non-gonococcal urethritis. *Sex Health* 2007; 4: 41–44.
- Srugo I, Steinberg J, Madeb R, et al. Agents of nongonococcal urethritis in males attending an Israeli clinic for sexually transmitted diseases. *Israel Med Assoc J* 2003; 5: 24–27.
- 35. Manhart LE, Broad JM and Golden MR. *Mycoplasma genitalium*: should we treat and how? *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; 53(Suppl 3): 129–142.

- Rane VS, Fairley CK, Weerakoon A, et al. Characteristics of acute nongonococcal urethritis in men differ by sexual preference. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; 52: 2971–2976.
- 37. Edwards S. Balanitis and balanoposthitis: a review. *Genitourin Med* 1996; 72: 155–159.
- 38. Jensen JS. *Mycoplasma genitalium*: the aetiological agent of urethritis and other sexually transmitted diseases. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2004: 18: 1–11.
- 39. Jensen JS, Bjornelius E, Dohn B, et al. Use of TaqMan 5' nuclease real-time PCR for quantitative detection of *Mycoplasma genitalium* DNA in males with and without urethritis who were attendees at a sexually transmitted disease clinic. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 683–692.
- Michel CE, Sonnex C, Carne CA, et al. *Chlamydia tra-chomatis* load at matched anatomic sites: Implications for screening strategies. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007; 45: 1395–1402.
- Zhang N, Wang R, Li X, et al. Are *Ureaplasma spp*. a cause of nongonococcal urethritis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e113771.
- Shimada Y, Ito S, Mizutani K, et al. Bacterial loads of Ureaplasma urealyticum contribute to development of urethritis in men. Int J STD AIDS 2014; 25: 294–298.
- 43. Frolund M. Bacterial aetiologies and characterization of the urethral microbiome in men with idiopathic urethritis using molecular biology methods. PhD Thesis, University of Copenhagen, 2014.
- 44. Keane FE, Thomas BJ, Whitaker L, et al. An association between non-gonococcal urethritis and bacterial vaginosis and the implications for patients and their sexual partners. *Genitourin Med* 1997; 73: 373–377.
- 45. Manhart LE, Khosropour CM, Liu C, et al. Bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria in men: association of *Leptotrichia/Sneathia spp*. with nongonococcal urethritis. *Sex Transm Dis* 2013: 40: 944–949.
- 46. Hawkins DA, Fontaine EA, Thomas BJ, et al. The enigma of non-gonococcal urethritis: role for *Bacteroides ureolyticus. Genitourin Med* 1988; 64: 10–13.
- Leung A, Taylor S, Smith A, et al. Urinary tract infection in patients with acute non-gonococcal urethritis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2002; 13: 801–804.
- 48. Tonsberg E and Hartgill U. The urethral smear as a tool in diagnosing adenovirus-induced urethritis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2014; 25: 1047–1049.
- Shahmanesh M. Problems with non-gonococcal urethritis. Int J STD AIDS 1994; 5: 390–399.
- Berntsson M, Lowhagen GB, Bergstrom T, et al. Viral and bacterial aetiologies of male urethritis: findings of a high prevalence of Epstein-Barr virus. *Int J STD AIDS* 2010: 21: 191–194.
- 51. Horner P. The etiology of acute nongonococcal urethritis-The enigma of idiopathic urethritis? *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; 38: 187–189.
- 52. Janier M, Lassau F, Casin I, et al. Male urethritis with and without discharge: a clinical and microbiological study. *Sex Transm Dis* 1995; 22: 244–252.
- 53. Swartz SL, Kraus SJ, Herrmann KL, et al. Diagnosis and etiology of nongonococcal urethritis. *J Infect Dis* 1978; 138: 445–454.

- 54. Moi H and Danielsson D. Diagnosis of genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in males by cell culture and antigen detection test. *Eur J Clin Microbiol* 1986; 5: 563–568.
- 55. Apoola A, Herrero-Diaz M, FitzHugh E, et al. A randomised controlled trial to assess pain with urethral swabs. *Sex Transm Infect* 2011; 87: 110–113.
- Moi H, Reinton N and Moghaddam A. Mycoplasma genitalium is associated with symptomatic and asymptomatic non-gonococcal urethritis in men. Sex Transm Infect 2009; 85: 15–18.
- 57. Anagrius C, Lore B and Jensen JS. *Mycoplasma genita-lium*: prevalence, clinical significance, and transmission. *Sex Transm Infect* 2005; 81: 458–462.
- 58. Horner P. Asymptomatic men: should they be tested for urethritis? *Sex Transm Infect* 2007; 83: 81–84.
- Hay PE, Thomas BJ, Gilchrist C, et al. A reappraisal of chlamydial and nonchlamydial acute non-gonococcal urethritis. *Int J STD AIDS* 1992; 3: 191–195.
- Chernesky M, Jang D, Chong S, et al. Impact of urine collection order on the ability of assays to identify *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections in men. Sex Transm Dis 2003: 30: 345–347.
- Mathew T, O'Mahony C and Mallinson H. Shortening the voiding interval for men having chlamydia nucleic acid amplification tests. *Int J STD AIDS* 2009; 20: 752–753.
- 62. Munday PE, Altman DG and Taylor-Robinson D. Urinary abnormalities in non gonococcal urethritis. *Br J Vener Dis* 1981; 57: 387–390.
- 63. Munday PE, Thomas BJ, Johnson AP, et al. Clinical and microbiological study of non-gonococcal urethritis with particular reference to non-chlamydial disease. *Br J Vener Dis* 1981; 57: 327–333.
- 64. Horner P, Blee K and Adams E. Time to manage *Mycoplasma genitalium* as an STI-but not with azithromycin 1 gram! *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2014; 27: 68–74.
- 65. Swartz SL and Kraus SJ. Persistent urethral leukocytosis and asymptomatic chlamydial urethritis. *J Infect Dis* 1979: 140: 614–617.
- Horner PJ, Cain D, McClure M, et al. Association of antibodies to *Chlamydia trachomatis* heat-shock protein 60 kD with chronic nongonococcal urethritis. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997; 24: 653–660.
- 67. Horner P, Thomas B, Gilroy C, et al. Antibodies to Chlamydia trachomatis heat-shock protein 60 kDa and detection of Mycoplasma genitalium and Ureaplasma urealyticum are associated independently with chronic nongonococcal urethritis. Sex Transm Dis 2003; 30: 129–133.
- 68. Lomas DA, Natin D, Stockley RA, et al. Chemotactic activity of urethral secretions in men with urethritis and the effect of treatment. *J Infect Dis* 1993; 167: 233–236.
- 69. Horner P, Ingle S, Blee K, et al. O28 Treatment of Mycoplasma genitalium with azithromycin 1 g is less efficacious and associated with induction of macrolide resistance compared to a 5 day regimen. Sex Transm Infect 2015; 91(Suppl 1): A10.
- 70. Lau CY and Qureshi AK. Azithromycin versus doxycycline for genital chlamydial infections: a meta-analysis of

- randomized clinical trials. Sex Transm Dis 2002; 29: 497–502.
- 71. Kong FYS, Tabrizi SN, Law M, et al. Azithromycin versus doxycycline for the treatment of genital chlamydia infection: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 59: 193–205.
- 72. Khosropour CM, Manhart LE, Colombara DV, et al. Suboptimal adherence to doxycycline and treatment outcomes among men with non-gonococcal urethritis: a prospective cohort study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014; 90: 3–7
- Carter N and Horner P. Treatment regimens in nongonococcal urethritis. Sex Transm Infect 2015; 91: 244.
- 74. Stamm WEM, Batteiger BEM, McCormack WMM, et al. A randomized, double-blind study comparing single-dose rifalazil with single-dose azithromycin for the empirical treatment of nongonococcal urethritis in men. Sex Transm Dis 2007; 34: 545–552.
- 75. Steingrimsson OM, Olafsson JHM, Thorarinsson HM, et al. Single dose azithromycin treatment of gonorrhea and infections caused by *C. trachomatis* and *U. urealyticum* in men. *Sex Transm Dis* 1994; 21: 43–46.
- 76. Khosropour CM, Manhart LE, Gillespie CW, et al. Efficacy of standard therapies against *Ureaplasma* species and persistence among men with non-gonococcal urethritis enrolled in a randomised controlled trial. *Sex Transm Infect* 2015; 91: 308–313.
- 77. Kong FYS, Tabrizi SN, Law M, et al. Azithromycin versus doxycycline for the treatment of genital chlamydia infection a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 59: 193–205.
- Lau A, Bradshaw CS, Lewis D, et al. The efficacy of azithromycin for the treatment of genital *Mycoplasma genitalium*: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 61: 1389–1399.
- Horner PJ. Mycoplasma genitalium and declining treatment efficacy of azithromycin 1g: what can we do? Clin Infect Dis 2015; 61: 1400–1402.
- 80. Couldwell DL and Lewis DA. *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection: current treatment options, therapeutic failure, and resistance-associated mutations. *Infect Drug Resist* 2015; 8: 147–161.
- Salado-Rasmussen K and Jensen JS. Mycoplasma genitalium testing pattern and macrolide resistance: A Danish nationwide retrospective survey. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 59: 24–30.
- Handsfield HHM. Questioning azithromycin for chlamydial infection. Sex Transm Dis 2011; 38: 1028–1029.
- 83. Horner PJ. Azithromycin antimicrobial resistance and genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection: duration of therapy may be the key to improving efficacy. *Sex Transm Infect* 2012; 88: 154–156.
- 84. Gundevia Z, Foster R, Jamil MS, et al. Positivity at test of cure following first-line treatment for genital *Mycoplasma genitalium*: follow-up of a clinical cohort. *Sex Transm Infect* 2015; 91: 11–13.
- 85. Anagrius C, Loré B and Jensen JS. Treatment of *Mycoplasma genitalium*. Observations from a Swedish STD clinic. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e61481.

- Bissessor M, Tabrizi SN, Twin J, et al. Macrolide resistance and azithromycin failure in a *Mycoplasma genita-lium*-infected cohort and response of azithromycin failures to alternative antibiotic regimens. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 60: 1228–1236.
- 87. Couldwell DL, Tagg KA, Jeoffreys NJ, et al. Failure of moxifloxacin treatment in *Mycoplasma genitalium* infections due to macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance. *Int J STD AIDS* 2013; 24: 822–828.
- 88. Tagg KA, Jeoffreys NJ, Couldwell DL, et al. Fluoroquinolone and macrolide resistance-associated mutations in *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013; 51: 2245–2249.
- 89. Twin J, Jensen JS, Bradshaw CS, et al. Transmission and selection of macrolide resistant *Mycoplasma genitalium* infections detected by rapid high resolution melt analysis. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e35593.
- Wikstrom A and Jensen JS. Mycoplasma genitalium: a common cause of persistent urethritis among men treated with doxycycline. Sex Transm Infect 2006; 82: 276–279.
- 91. Bissessor M, Tabrizi SN, Twin J, et al. Macrolide resistance and azithromycin failure in a *Mycoplasma genitalium*-infected cohort and response of azithromycin failures to alternative antibiotic regimens. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015; 60: 1228–1236.
- 92. Falk L, Enger M and Jensen JS. Time to eradication of *Mycoplasma genitalium* after antibiotic treatment in men and women. *J Antimicrob Chem* 2015; 70: 3134–3140.
- 93. Unemo M, Endre KM and Moi H. Five-day azithromycin treatment regimen for *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection also effectively eradicates *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2015; 95: 730–732.
- 94. Touati A, Peuchant O, Jensen JS, et al. Direct detection of macrolide resistance in *Mycoplasma genitalium* isolates from clinical specimens from France by use of real-time PCR and melting curve analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; 52: 1549–1555.
- 95. Lindemalm-Lundstam B, Johannisson G and Löwhagen GB. [Alternative drugs against chlamydia urethritis. Tetracycline offers the most cost-effective cure]. *Lakartidningen* 1996; 93: 369–371.
- Fitzgerald MR. Effect of epidemiological treatment of contacts in preventing recurrences of non-gonococcal urethritis. *Br J Vener Dis* 1984; 60: 312–315.
- 97. McGowin CL and Anderson-Smits C. *Mycoplasma genitalium*: an emerging cause of sexually transmitted disease in women. *PLoS Pathog* 2011; 7: e1001324.
- 98. Taylor-Robinson D and Jensen JS. *Mycoplasma genita-lium*: from Chrysalis to multicolored butterfly. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2011; 24: 498–514.
- Ford DK and Henderson E. Non-gonococcal urethritis due to T-mycoplasma (*Ureaplasma urealyticum*) serotype 2 in a conjugal sexual partnership. *Br J Vener Dis* 1976; 52: 341–342.

 Falk L, Fredlund H and Jensen JS. Tetracycline treatment does not eradicate *Mycoplasma genitalium*. Sex Transm Infect 2003; 79: 318–319.

- Munday PE. Persistent and recurrent non-gonococcal urethritis. In: Taylor-Robinson D (ed.) *Clinical problems* in sexually transmitted diseases. Dordrecht: Martinum Nijhoff, 1985, pp.15–34.
- 102. Taylor-Robinson D, Gilroy CB, Thomas BJ, et al. Mycoplasma genitalium in chronic non-gonococcal urethritis. Int J STD AIDS 2004; 15: 21–25.
- 103. Bowie WR, Alexander ER, Stimson JB, et al. Therapy for nongonococcal urethritis: double-blind randomized comparison of two doses and two durations of minocycline. *Ann Int Med* 1981; 95: 306–311.
- 104. Manhart LE, Khosropour CM, Liu C, et al. Bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria in men: association of Leptotrichia/Sneathia spp. with nongonococcal urethritis. Sex Transm Dis 2013; 40: 944–949.
- 105. Hooton TM, Wong ES, Barnes RC, et al. Erythromycin for persistent or recurrent nongonococcal urethritis. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Int Med* 1990; 113: 21–26.
- Amsden GW. Erythromycin, clarithromycin, and azithromycin: are the differences real? *Clin Therap* 1996; 18: 56–72.
- 107. Bjornelius E, Anagrius C, Bojs G, et al. Antibiotic treatment of symptomatic *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection in Scandinavia: a controlled clinical trial. *Sex Transm Infect* 2008; 84: 72–76.
- 108. Jernberg E, Moghaddam A and Moi H. Azithromycin and moxifloxacin for microbiological cure of *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection: an open study. *Int J STD AIDS* 2008; 19: 676–679.
- 109. Walker J, Fairley CK, Bradshaw CS, et al. Mycoplasma genitalium incidence, organism load, and treatment failure in a cohort of young Australian women. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 56: 1094–1100.
- Terada M, Izumi K, Ohki E, et al. Antimicrobial efficacies of several antibiotics against uterine cervicitis caused by *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *J Infect Chemother* 2012; 18: 313–317.
- Krieger JN, Hooton TM, Brust PJ, et al. Evaluation of chronic urethritis. Defining the role for endoscopic procedures. *Archiv Int Med* 1988; 148: 703–707.
- 112. Crofts M, Mead K, Persad R, et al. An evaluation of a dedicated chronic pelvic pain syndrome clinic in genitourinary medicine. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014; 90: 373.
- 113. Wong ES, Hooton TM, Hill CC, et al. Clinical and microbiological features of persistent or recurrent nongonococcal urethritis in men. *J Infect Dis* 1988; 158: 1098–1101.
- 114. Crofts M, Mead K, Persad R, et al. How to manage the chronic pelvic pain syndrome in men presenting to sexual health services. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014; 90: 370–373.